



## **Performance Targets 2008-09 For APACS Framework – Tier 1 Indicators**

All targets have been assigned to either 'Tier 1' or 'Tier 2'. Tier 1 indicators are those that are deemed key Force priorities for 2008/09 through the lengthy consultation process that has taken place. Tier 1 indicators are the areas in which the Force has invested for 2008/09 and therefore where resources will be focused. Stretching targets have been applied to many of the Tier 1 indicators in line with our aim of achieving significant improvement in these areas. However, some indicators have been put into Tier 1 as 'directional' as they are key Force priorities but for various reasons specific targets were not deemed appropriate.

All remaining police-related Statutory Performance Indicators (SPI's) within APACS have been assigned to Tier 2. Tier 2 indicators are not viewed as the key Force priorities, however directional performance will be monitored as performance improvements are still expected across these indicators.

### **Target Setting Methodology**

The following have been taken into account in drawing up the targets.

- Analysis of performance over the last three years
- Performance against 2007/08 target
- Consideration of the Force's position within its group of Most Similar Forces (MSF)
- The target setting proposals being drawn up by the 5 Merseyside Crime & Disorder Reduction Partnerships (CDRPs)\*.
- Financial information linked to anticipated performance improvements from monies made available in the Budget Report
- The Strategic Intelligence Assessment
- Links to HMIC Assessment/Force Risk Register/Force Control Strategy
- Professional judgement

\* There has been close liaison with the local CDRPs to ensure that there is a mutual understanding of respective priorities, and that the various PIs and targets being drawn up are broadly compatible. It is important to point out that CDRPs have drawn up priorities according to their own local profiles, and that they do not all share the same PIs. The target setting process has sought a close alignment and aggregation, where possible, with the proposals of the CDRPs.

## Summary of Tier 1 Indicators by Domain

Tackling Crime		2007-08 Year End	2008-09 Target
Indicator	Indicator Type		
Assault with less serious injury, crimes per 1,000 population	Proxy Measure for Management Information	6.53 (8,913)	5.77 (7,816)
Assault with less serious injury, Sanction Detection Rate	Proxy Measure for Management Information	33.2% (2,879)	39.7% (3,103)
Domestic Violence Sanction Detection Rate	Management Information	60.8%	65%
Repeat Incidents of Domestic Violence	Local Priority Performance Indicator	41.6%	39%
Racially & Religiously Aggravated Crime Sanction Detection Rate	Statutory Performance Indicator	38.8% (422)	45% (490)
Serious Crime & Protection		2007-08 Year End	2008-09 Target
Indicator	Indicator Type		
Number of recorded Gun Crimes, per 1000 population	Statutory Performance Indicator	0.26 (358)	0.22 (304)
Firearm discharges rate, per 1000 population	Local Priority Performance Indicator	Rate: 0.096 Number 130	Rate: 0.089 Number 120
Serious Knife Crime (Rate per 1,000 pop)	Statutory Performance Indicator	N/A	Reduce
Number of Most Serious Violent Crimes, per 1000 population	Proxy Measure for Management Information	0.7 (952)	0.63 (857)
Serious Sexual Offences Sanction Detection Rate	Key Diagnostic Indicator	27.3%	35%
Promoting Safety		2007-08 Year End	2008-09 Target
Indicator	Indicator Type		
Number of Anti-Social Behaviour (ASB) Incidents (Rate per 1,000 pop)	Management Information	99,497	94,117 (69.53)
Percentage of people with high level of perceived ASB	Statutory Performance Indicator	20.5%	Reduce
Confidence and Satisfaction		2007-08 Year End	2008-09 Target
Indicator	Indicator Type		
Percentage of victims satisfied with overall service provided by the police	Statutory Performance Indicator	82.9%	Improve
Victim satisfaction with "Action Taken"	Key Diagnostic Indicator	79.3%	84.5%
Victim satisfaction with "Follow Up"	Key Diagnostic Indicator	67.6%	69.6%
Variance in White & BRM victim satisfaction with overall service provided by the police	Statutory Performance Indicator	9% variance	4.5% variance
Satisfaction of victims of racist incidents with overall service provided by the police	Statutory Performance Indicator	79.6%	Improve
Percentage of the public who think the Police in their area do a good job	Statutory Performance Indicator	50.6%	Improve